Improving Patient-Centered Workflow with Clinical Decision Support Systems

Robert A. Jenders, MD, MS, FACP, FACMI

Associate Professor, Department of Medicine Cedars-Sinai Medical Center University of California, Los Angeles USA Co-Chair, Clinical Decision Support Technical Committee, HL7

18 October 2006

Overview: CDSS

- Rationale: Why do we need decision support, and what is it?
- Process: Developing decision support interventions
- Information system infrastructure: data, terminology, data model
- Putting it all together: Decision engine, knowledge representation & standards

<u>CØS)</u> (12)

COS

CÔS

Take-Home Messages

- Clinical *Decision Support* System ≠ Decision support engine
 - Data infrastructure is key!
- Clinical Decision Support System ≠ One-time CDSS purchase
 - Knowledge maintenance is important!



I. CDSS Need: Medical Errors

Estimated annual mortality		
Air travel deaths	300	
AIDS	16,5	
Breast cancer	43,0	
Highway fatalities	43,5	
Preventable medical errors	44,0	
(1 jet crash/day)	98,0	

Costs of Preventable Medical Errors

stitute of Medicine. To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System. Kohn LT: Corrigan IN: Donalding MS eds. Washington: National Academics Pre-



Harvard Medical Practice Study (1991)

CDSS Need

- USA: Only 54.9% of adults receive recommended care for typical conditions
 - community-acquired pneumonia: 39%
 - asthma: 53.5%
 - hypertension: 64.9%
 McGlynn EA, Asch SM, Adams J et al. The quality of health care delivered to adulty in the United States. N Earl J Med 2005;348:2635-2645.
- Delay in adoption: 10+ years for adoption of thrombolytic therapy

nnume EXE. Lon J. Kappelicki B et al. A comparison of condits of insta-analy independent constructions and recommendations of clinical superior. Treatment proceeding instruction, JANA 3762206272065.

What is Clinical Decision Support? Different Levels

- Organization of Data: the CIS/EHR
- <u>Stand-Alone Expert Systems</u>
 _____often require redundant data entry
- <u>Data Repository: Mining</u>
- CDSS Integrated into Workflow
 - push information to the clinician at the point of care
 - examples: alerting in EHR, CPOE



Case Studies: Examples of CDSS Effectiveness

- Perioperative Antibiotic Administration
 - intervention: reminder re timing and type of abx
 - *period*: 1988 1994
 - result: perioperative wound infections dec 1.8% > 0.9%
 - avg # doses: 19 -> 5.3
 - overall antibiotic cost (constant \$) per treated patient: \$123 -> \$52



Examples (continued)

- <u>Reminders of Redundant Test Ordering</u>
 - intervention: reminder of recent lab result
 - *result*: reduction in hospital charges (13%) Tierney WM, Miller MF, Overhage JM et al. Physician inpatient order
 - Tierney WM, Miller ME, Overhage JM et al. Physician inpatient order writing on microcomputer workstations. Effects on resource utilization JAMA 1993;269(3):379-83.
- <u>CPOE Implementation</u>
 - Population: hospitalized patients over 4 years
 - Non-missed-dose medication error rate fell 81%
 - Potentially injurious errors fell 86%



Examples (continued)

- <u>Systematic review</u>
 - 68 studies
 - 66% of 65 studies showed benefit on physician performance
 - 9/15 drug dosing
 - 1/5 diagnostic aids
 - 14/19 preventive care
 - 19/26 other
 - 6/14 studies showed benefit on patient outcome

COS (

CDSS Role in Optimizing Throughput

- <u>Clinical/Patient Safety</u>
 - Avoiding preventable ADEs
 - Enhancing compliance with guidelines
- <u>Administrative Alerting</u>
 - Resource assignment vs insurance coverage (formularies, bed classification, etc)
 - Billing correctness (E/M coding)
 - Resource utilization (vaccine supplies, bed availability)



Developing Decision Support Interventions: CDS Implementers' Workbook

- Goal: Provide practical advice to organizations implementing decision support
 - Iterative implementation process
 - Practical tools: worksheets, etc
- Part of the HIMSS Patient Safety Task Force

Oshendi JA, Pifer JA, Felde JM, Mitja DF, Jinders RA. Improving Datesmus With Clinical Decision Support. Chicago: Health Information Management Systems Society, 2005.

http://www.himss.org/cdsworkbook/



Step #1: Identify Goals

- Support disease management initiatives
- Improve clinical performance: safety & quality
- Foster evidence/guideline-based practice
- Improve reimbursement; reduce cost
- Improve communication
- Improve regulatory/reporting/accreditation compliance
- Address clinician/patient questions



(C(S))

Step #1: Stakeholders and Other Sources of Goals

- Institutional analyses: cost, safety, quality...
 - Committees: P&T, UR, QI, Patient Safety...
 - Data driven: analytical tools
- Local Stakeholders
- Interviews, surveys, observation
- Community priorities and programs
- Promising targets
 - Strong evidence/quality measures
 - Systematic analyses external



Step #1: Decomposing Goals Into Measurable Objectives

High-level goal / program: Patient safety

Focused Goal: Decrease medication errors / ADE's

Objective: Decrease severe drug interactions Objective: Prevent therapeutic duplication Objective: Prevent allergic reactions to drugs



Step #2: Identify What (Systems) You Have

- Catalog all information systems and their data
- Identify what kind of decision support those IS can provide (or you can build)
- How can multiple systems be synthesized to support goals?



Step #3: Selecting Interventions => CDS Types

- Forms and templates (encounter documentation)
- Relevant data presentation (flowsheets, CPM)
- Order sets
- Integrated guidelines (active guidelines)
- Reference information (links/infobuttons)
- Reactive/unsolicited alerts (drug interactions)

Step #3: Workflow Opportunities



Step #4: Validate/Build/Develop Logistics

- What, when, who, where, how
- Establish feedback mechanisms
- Identify evaluation parameters
- Finalize content of interventions



COS) E

Step #5: Test & Roll Out Interventions

- Test & validate content before roll-out
- Develop roll-out plan and schedule
- Establish mechanism for feedback to content and manner of interventions
- Cultivate clinician-champions

Step #6: Evaluate Effect and Feed Back

- Assess utilization of interventions
- Gather user responses to interventions
- Assess process and clinical outcomes in terms of previously identified outcome variables
- Feed back into process (choice of goals, choice of mechanisms, logistics)



III. Infrastructure

- · Necessary underpinning to decision support initiatives
- Key Elements
 - Data
 - Terminology
 - Central data repository (Data model)



Acquisition of Clinical Data: Requirements

- Electronic format (allows automated processing)
- Communication network
 - Use of standards (HL7) to facilitate interchange between different vendors
- Data model / repository: Share data among applications



Structured Data: How do we get it?

- Direct entry/capture
 - Captured from devices (lab, vitals monitor, etc)
 - Entered by human beings using structured forms
- Transform after the fact
 - Computer-assisted e-coding & NLP





and forest based in	-1-	MEQ. DOX.8-	a rest adult diverse agreement conver-	Aug-12-Clifferme-Revide-	· A.Seath		
And Store Made Scienti	distant	Antes?	beinge Liedlam				
CIPC and/15-funds	1					P.	
WANS D	Results reported from 19/18/2002 through 10/24/2003						
Fall	-			128 bis e el 201942 a 1.34 M	14	-	
	Calbyden Date/Time	Ban-Time	Sect Name	Recalt	Decad Range		
to my	A15/2001	WIN282	Property No. CHENT INW be	Creatrik	Contraction and the second		
	Dist.	31.39	Frenk He MTMC	Automatical States			
	R\$1,0001	HILDER	URN PREGNANCY				
order .	1114	1411	Artmann Hu Hill72				
Cubicted			CERT MERCANCE	200			
1 02 1 three	R112001	R120EE	HEP IL SURFACE AR				
* [[] *] (m]	1000		Arrente No Billion	and the second se			
CONTRACTOR OF A	and the second	"antitute"	AND STOREALS AN	had -			
1.00	1716	21.22	HEP'R CORE AR, EIA				
			HIT SCOTT AN EA	181			
2.54	8450001	912/2011	1171				
	1110	20.25	Accession Ma. Section				
(m)			829.	DCHIERACTIVE	366		
ent	A410001	A12/2008 30:11	VARICELLA ZOSTER All				
PL.			VANDELA DORTRADO	4	HITEDAS.		
			WAREFELLA COMMENT	WARETELLA INTERPRETATION			
				NUMBER ANTERCOVINCY DETECTED			
aut 1				YOF BURELOO ANTIBODY TO YEY DETRITED			
LAUDE				NOTE THE MACHITUDE OF THE MEADURED REDULT IS NO. OF ANTIBODY PRESENT AND CAMPOT BE CONSELATED T	T INDICATOR OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT O AIR EREPORT TITLE.		
	6/1265 11.37	AA2001 3123	AMPLIFIED CHLAMYDIA Accesses lin 822717				
pright in 1999, 2002			JOORGE .	CREWER			
Take the field time			C TRACIOMATII REFULT	HBOATIVE			
				Research and the Research strends on Resta			
				Montaings			
	81/265	ANGERS	AMPLIFTED OC				
	127	33.23	Advention No. 302713				
			BOON'S	CODIVICE .			
			HC REPULTS	MEGATIVE			
				Results or terred by Dr. Towerd, signed copy on file in			
	N7/280	34(301)	TO ANATOMIC PATHOLOG	TV BAC ALLE			
	The second se		and a second sec	A CONTRACT OF A			





Martha G.	Clancy	Contraction of the local sector	Desire D. 100 (FA10)	ELARS MUT WAS SELSTIFY	
. A		3			2 4 3
Summery	-	· Medications	Aleta Powerket Draws	Bowerts	
	Li I		DIVERSION TAPIS INCLUSION POINT SUDAN	1 pr g (100 1200)	
				Besters	
Description for		and the second second second	•	2	
Transferrer. Ere	Date	196.0	Data Sumay Da		
record.	1005/1368	64 2	In States County And Angeling and An Inc.		
NEGHT	1006/1000	165	This of the second seco		
FEMALE LINE	10/00/000		1001/1000 Las Ret (IN) with Selection Stages	I define	
PARENTE	10.06*048	84	10/30/1001 & leagiligt Bidders Waterugrapt Cigne		
PLEASE NOVITAL			August and Las Ref Thornal Profile Digree		
NUTP AATS	10261988	18	Lotter and the second and all a	an and the	
of Indifficie	1020108	138		A CONTROL OF	
O-CLEUTINCE.					
-01		2			

Uses for Structured / Coded Data

- <u>Clinical care</u>: Sharing data from disparate sources – Integration in a CDR
- Decision support: Automated interpretation of data
- Public health: Surveillance across a population



- Research: Pool data to discover new knowledge
- <u>Quality assurance</u>: Detect risks and intervene
- Administration: Manage resources
- Reimbursement: Justify payment for services



Standard Vocabularies: Examples

- Endorsed by CMS (45 CFR 162 = HIPAA requirement, final rule adopted 20 Feb 2003)
 - ICD9-CM
 - NDC (retail pharmacies)
 - **CPT-4**
 - HCPCS
 - Code on Dental Procedures & Nomenclature

More Standards: Consolidated Health Informatics Initiative

- HL7: messages
- NCPDP: ordering from pharmacies
- IEEE 1073: Medical Information Bus (devices)
- **<u>DICOM</u>**: imaging
- LOINC: laboratory, vital signs
- <u>SNOMED CT</u>: lab results contents, non-lab intervention/procedures, anatomy, dx/problems, nursing
- <u>Federal med terminologies</u>: FDA (ingredients, manufactured forms, packages), NLM RxNorm (clinical drugs), VA NDF-RT (classification)



Standard Data Models: HL7 RIM

- High-level, abstract model of all exchangeable data
 - Concepts are objects: Act (e.g., observations), Living Subject, etc
 - Object attributes
 - Relationship among objects
- Common reference for all HL7 v3 standards

Schadow G, Russler DC, Mend CN, McDonald CJ. Integrating medical information and knowledge in the HL7 RIM. Proc AMIA Symp 2000;:764-7.



IV. Putting It All Together: CDSS & Standards

- Use integrated data (CDR, vocabulary) + knowledge to
 provide decision support
- Key elements of the CDSS
 - Event monitor
 - KR formalisms
 - Delivery mechanisms (email, fax, pager, EMR)







<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row>

HL7 Standards

• Data standards

- Messaging (v2.x, v3)
- Data model (RIM)
- Documentation (CDA)
- Application integration (CCOW)
- EHR Functional Model and Specification

Decision support

- Arden Syntax
- Infobuttons
- Order sets
- GELLO & guideline standard





Infobutton Standard

- <u>Infobutton</u>: Application that mediates queries of knowledge sources by clinical applications (EHRs, etc)
- Process
 - Clinical information system invokes infobutton manager (IM) with patient/user data
 - IM creates 1+ infobuttons, each = different kind of query
 - User chooses infobutton to execute query against a knowledge source, which displays response

Cimino JJ, Li J, Bakken S, Patel VL. Theoretical, empirical and practical approaches to resolving the unmet information needs of clinical information system users. Proc AMIA Symp 2002;;170-174.



Order Sets

- <u>Rationale</u>: Considerable effort expended to develop order sets
 - Goal: Preserve and share
- Different levels
 - Document model: Maintain and share as a unit
 - Execution model: Use within a CPOE system
- Current status: Draft in progress



CPOE

- <u>CDSS Method</u>: Brings together many different kinds of decision support: order sets, drug interaction checking, order validation
- <u>Challenge</u>: Expensive, pervasive change (~5% use)

• Issues

- May give rise to errors
- May uncover pre-existing problems with governance and workflow
- Koppel R. Metlay JP. Cohen A et al. Role o



Summary

- <u>Decision support</u>: Broad definition, great need
- <u>Developing interventions</u>: Determine priorities, engage stakeholders, obtain widespread support
 - = organizational change
- Information infrastructure
 - Data (acquisition)
 - Terminology
 - Data model
- <u>CDSS</u>: Attention to knowledge delivery and format (standards)

Take-Home Messages

- - Data infrastructure is key!
- - Knowledge maintenance is important!

Thank You!

• IQPC

• California HealthCare Foundation, grant 05-1549

jenders@ucla.edu http://www.bol.ucla.edu/~jenders/

